

Twisted representations of vertex operator superalgebras

Chongying Dong¹ and Zhongping Zhao

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA 95064

Abstract

This paper gives an analogue of $A_g(V)$ theory for a vertex operator superalgebra V and an automorphism g of finite order. The relation between the g -twisted V -modules and $A_g(V)$ -modules is established. It is proved that if V is g -rational, then $A_g(V)$ is finite dimensional semisimple associative algebra and there are only finitely many irreducible g -twisted V -modules.

1 Introduction

The twisted sectors or twisted modules are basic ingredients in orbifold conformal field theory (cf. [FLM1], [FLM2], [FLM3], [Le1], [Le2], [DHVW], [DVVV], [DL2], [DLM2]). The notion of twisted module [FFR],[D] is derived from the properties of twisted vertex operators for finite automorphisms of even lattice vertex operator algebras constructed in [Le1], [Le2] and [FLM2], also see [DL2]. In this paper we study the twisted modules for an arbitrary vertex operator superalgebra following [Z], [KW] and [DLM2].

An associative algebra $A(V)$ was introduced in [Z] for every vertex operator algebra V to study the representation theory for vertex operator algebra. The main idea is to reduce the study of representation theory for a vertex operator algebra to the study of representation theory for an associative algebra. This approach has been very successful and the irreducible modules for many well-known vertex operator algebras have been classified by using the associative algebras. This theory has been extended to the vertex operator superalgebras in [KW] and has been further generalized to the twisted representations for a vertex operator algebra in [DLM2].

This paper is a “super analogue” of [DLM2]. We construct an associative algebra $A_g(V)$ for any vertex operator superalgebra V together with an automorphism g of finite order. Then the vacuum space of any admissible g -twisted V -module becomes a module for $A_g(V)$. On the other hand one can construct a ‘universal’ admissible g -twisted V -module from any $A_g(V)$ -module. This leads to a one to one correspondence between the set of inequivalent admissible g -twisted V -modules and the set of simple $A_g(V)$ -modules. As in the case of vertex operator algebra, if V is g -rational then $A_g(V)$ is a finite dimensional semisimple associative algebra.

The ideas of this paper and other related papers are very natural and go back to the theory of highest weight modules for Kac-Moody Lie algebras and other Lie algebras with triangular decompositions. In the classical highest weight module theory, the highest

¹Supported by NSF grants, a China NSF grant and a faculty research fund from the University of California at Santa Cruz.

weight or highest weight vector determines the highest weight module structure to some extent (different highest weight modules can have the same highest weight). The role of the vacuum space for an admissible twisted module is similar to the role of the highest weight space in a highest weight module. So from this point of view, the $A_g(V)$ theory is a natural extension of highest weight module theory in the representation theory of vertex operator superalgebras.

A vertex operator superalgebra has a canonical automorphism σ of order 2 arising from the structure of superspace. The σ -twisted modules which are called the Ramond sector in the literature play very important roles in the study of geometry. Important topological invariants such as elliptic genus and certain Witten genus can be understood as graded trace functions on the Ramond sectors constructed from the manifolds. It is expected that the theory developed in this paper will have applications in geometry and physics.

Since the setting and most results in this paper are similar to those in [DLM2] we only provide the arguments which are either new or need a lot of modifications. We refer the reader to [DLM2] for details.

The organization of this paper is similar to that of [DLM2]. We review the definition of vertex operator superalgebra and define various notions of g -twisted V -modules in section 2. In section 3, we introduce the algebra $A_g(V)$ for VOSA V . Section 4 is devoted to the study of Lie superalgebra $V[g]$ which is kind of twisted affinization of V . A *weak* g -twisted V -module is naturally a $V[g]$ -module. In section 5, we construct the functor Ω which sends a weak g -twisted V -module to an $A_g(V)$ -module. We construct another functor L from the category of $A_g(V)$ -modules to the category of admissible g -twisted V -modules in Section 6. That is, for any $A_g(V)$ -module U we can construct a kind of “generalized Verma module” $\bar{M}(U)$ which is the universal admissible g -twisted V -module generated by U . It is proved that there is a 1-1 correspondence between the irreducible objects in these two categories. Moreover if V is g -rational, then $A_g(V)$ is a finite dimensional semisimple associative algebra. We discuss some examples of vertex operator superalgebras constructed from the free fermions and their twisted modules in Section 7.

2 Vertex Operator superalgebra and twisted modules

We review the definition of vertex operator superalgebra (cf. [B], [FLM3], [DL1]) and various notions of twisted modules in this section (cf. [D], [DLM2], [FFR], [FLM3], [Z]).

Recall that a super vector space is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded vector space $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$. The elements in V_0 (resp. V_1) are called even (resp. odd). Let $\tilde{v}$ be 0 if $v \in V_0$, and 1 if $v \in V_1$.

Definition 2.1. A vertex operator superalgebra is a $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_+$ -graded super vector space

$$V = \bigoplus_{n \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_+} V_n = V_0 \oplus V_1. \quad (2.1)$$

with $V_0 = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_n$ and $V_1 = \sum_{n \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}} V_n$ satisfying $\dim V_n < \infty$ for all n and $V_m = 0$ if m is sufficiently small. V is equipped with a linear map

$$\begin{aligned} V &\rightarrow (\text{End } V)[[z, z^{-1}]], \\ v &\mapsto Y(v, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} v_n z^{-n-1} \quad (v_n \in \text{End } V). \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

and with two distinguished vectors $\mathbf{1} \in V_0$, $\omega \in V_2$ satisfying the following conditions for $u, v \in V$, and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$u_n v = 0 \quad \text{for } n \text{ sufficiently large;} \quad (2.3)$$

$$Y(\mathbf{1}, z) = Id_V; \quad (2.4)$$

$$Y(v, z)\mathbf{1} \in V[[z]] \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} Y(v, z)\mathbf{1} = v; \quad (2.5)$$

$$[L(m), L(n)] = (m - n)L(m + n) + \frac{1}{12}(m^3 - m)\delta_{m+n, 0}c; \quad (2.6)$$

$$\frac{d}{dz}Y(v, z) = Y(L(-1)v, z); \quad (2.7)$$

$$L(0)|_{V_n} = n. \quad (2.8)$$

where $L(m) = \omega_{m+1}$, that is,

$$Y(\omega, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L(n)z^{-n-2};$$

and the *Jacobi identity* holds:

$$\begin{aligned} z_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_0} \right) Y(u, z_1) Y(v, z_2) - (-1)^{\tilde{u}\tilde{v}} z_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_2 - z_1}{-z_0} \right) Y(v, z_2) Y(u, z_1) \\ = z_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right) Y(Y(u, z_0)v, z_2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

where $\delta(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^n$ and $(z_i - z_j)^n$ is expanded as a formal power series in z_j . Throughout the paper, z_0, z_1, z_2 , etc. are independent commuting formal variables.

Such a vertex operator superalgebra may be denoted by $V = (V, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega)$. In the case $V_1 = 0$, this is exactly the definition of vertex operator algebra given in [FLM3].

Definition 2.2. Let V be a vertex operator superalgebra. An *automorphism* g of V is a linear automorphism of V preserving ω such that the actions of g and $Y(v, z)$ on V are compatible in the sense that

$$gY(v, z)g^{-1} = Y(gv, z)$$

for $v \in V$.

Note that any automorphism of V commutes with $L(0)$ and preserves each homogeneous space V_n . As a result, any automorphism preserves $V_{\bar{0}}$ and $V_{\bar{1}}$.

Let $\text{Aut}(V)$ be the group of automorphisms of V . There is a special automorphism $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(V)$ such that $\sigma|_{V_{\bar{0}}} = 1$ and $\sigma|_{V_{\bar{1}}} = -1$. It is clear that σ is a central element of $\text{Aut}(V)$.

Fix $g \in \text{Aut}(V)$ of order T_0 . Let $o(g\sigma) = T$. Denote the decompositions of V into eigenspaces with respect to the actions of $g\sigma$ and g as follows

$$V = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}/T\mathbb{Z}} V^{r*} \quad (2.10)$$

$$V = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}/T_0\mathbb{Z}} V^r \quad (2.11)$$

where $V^{r*} = \{v \in V | g\sigma v = e^{2\pi ir/T} v\}$ and $V^r = \{v \in V | gv = e^{2\pi ir/T_0} v\}$

Definition 2.3. A weak g -twisted V -module M is a vector space equipped with a linear map

$$\begin{aligned} V &\rightarrow (\text{End } M)[[z^{1/T_0}, z^{-1/T_0}]] \\ v &\mapsto Y_M(v, z) = \sum_{n \in \frac{1}{T_0}\mathbb{Z}} v_n z^{-n-1} \quad (v_n \in \text{End } M) \end{aligned}$$

which satisfies that for all $0 \leq r \leq T_0 - 1$, $u \in V^r$, $v \in V$, $w \in M$,

$$Y_M(u, z) = \sum_{n \in \frac{r}{T_0} + \mathbb{Z}} u_n z^{-n-1}; \quad (2.12)$$

$$u_l w = 0 \quad \text{for } l >> 0; \quad (2.13)$$

$$Y_M(\mathbf{1}, z) = Id_M; \quad (2.14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} z_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_0} \right) Y_M(u, z_1) Y_M(v, z_2) - (-1)^{\bar{u}\bar{v}} z_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_2 - z_1}{-z_0} \right) Y_M(v, z_2) Y_M(u, z_1) \\ = z_2^{-1} \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right)^{-r/T_0} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right) Y_M(Y(u, z_0)v, z_2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

Following the arguments in [DL1] one can prove that the twisted Jacobi identity is equivalent to the following associativity formula

$$(z_0 + z_2)^{k + \frac{r}{T_0}} Y_M(u, z_0 + z_2) Y_M(v, z_2) w = (z_2 + z_0)^{k + \frac{r}{T_0}} Y_M(Y(u, z_0)v, z_2) w. \quad (2.16)$$

where $w \in M$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ s.t $z^{k + \frac{r}{T_0}} Y_M(u, z) w$ involves only nonnegative integral powers of z , and commutator relation

$$\begin{aligned} [Y_M(u, z_1), Y_M(v, z_2)] \\ = \text{Res}_{z_0} z_2^{-1} \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right)^{-r/T_0} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right) Y_M(Y(u, z_0)v, z_2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

We need the following Lemma later.

Lemma 2.4. *The associativity formula (2.16) is equivalent to the following:*

$$(z_0 + z_2)^{m+\frac{s}{T}} Y_M(u, z_0 + z_2) Y_M(v, z_2) w = (z_2 + z_0)^{m+\frac{s}{T}} Y_M(Y(u, z_0) v, z_2) w$$

for $u \in V^{s*}$ and some $m \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_+$ with $m = \text{wt } u$ modulo $\mathbb{Z}$ such that $z^{m+\frac{s}{T}} Y_M(u, z) w$ involves only nonnegative integral powers of z .

Proof: Let $u \in V^r$. It is enough to prove that $\text{wt } u + \frac{s}{T}$ and $\frac{r}{T_0}$ are congruent modulo $\mathbb{Z}$. It is easy to see that $s \equiv \frac{T}{2}\tilde{u} + r$ modulo $\mathbb{Z}$ if T_0 is even and $s \equiv \frac{T}{2}\tilde{u} + 2r$ modulo $\mathbb{Z}$ if T_0 is odd. Thus $\text{wt } u + \frac{s}{T}$ is congruent to $\text{wt } u + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{u} + \frac{r}{T_0}$. Since $\frac{1}{2}\tilde{u}$ and $\text{wt } u$ are congruent modulo $\mathbb{Z}$, the result follows immediately. $\square$

Equating the coefficients of $z_1^{-m-1} z_2^{-n-1}$ in (2.17) yields

$$[u_m, v_n] = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{m}{i} (u_i v)_{m+n-i}. \quad (2.18)$$

We may also deduce from (2.12)-(2.15) the usual Virasoro algebra axioms, namely that if $Y_M(\omega, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L(n) z^{-n-2}$ then

$$[L(m), L(n)] = (m - n) L(m + n) + \frac{1}{12} (m^3 - m) \delta_{m+n, 0} c \quad (2.19)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dz} Y_M(v, z) = Y_M(L(-1)v, z) \quad (2.20)$$

(cf. [DLM1]).

The homomorphism and isomorphism of weak twisted modules are defined in an obvious way.

Definition 2.5. *An admissible g -twisted V -module is a weak g -twisted V -module M which carries a $\frac{1}{T}\mathbb{Z}_+$ -grading*

$$M = \bigoplus_{n \in \frac{1}{T}\mathbb{Z}_+} M(n) \quad (2.21)$$

satisfying

$$v_m M(n) \subseteq M(n + \text{wt } v - m - 1) \quad (2.22)$$

for homogeneous $v \in V$.

Definition 2.6. *An ordinary g -twisted V -module is a weak g -twisted V -module*

$$M = \prod_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} M_\lambda \quad (2.23)$$

such that $\dim M_\lambda$ is finite and for fixed λ , $M_{\frac{n}{T}+\lambda} = 0$ for all small enough integers n where $M_\lambda = \{w \in M \mid L(0)w = \lambda w\}$.

The admissible g -twisted V -modules form a subcategory of the weak g -twisted V -modules. It is easy to prove that an ordinary g -twisted V -module is admissible. Shifting the grading of an admissible g -twisted module gives an isomorphic admissible g -twisted V -module. A *simple* object in this category is an admissible g -twisted V -module M such that 0 and M are the only graded submodules.

We say that V is *g-rational* if every admissible g -twisted V -module is completely reducible, i.e., a direct sum of simple admissible g -twisted modules. V is called *rational* if V is 1-rational. V is called *holomorphic* if V is rational and V is the only irreducible V -module up to isomorphism.

If $M = \bigoplus_{n \in \frac{1}{T}\mathbb{Z}_+} M(n)$ is an admissible g -twisted V -module, the contragredient module M' is defined as follows:

$$M' = \bigoplus_{n \in \frac{1}{T}\mathbb{Z}_+} M(n)^* \quad (2.24)$$

where $M(n)^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M(n), \mathbb{C})$. The vertex operator $Y_{M'}(a, z)$ is defined for $a \in V$ via

$$\langle Y_{M'}(a, z)f, u \rangle = \langle f, Y_M(e^{zL(1)}(-z^{-2})^{L(0)}a, z^{-1})u \rangle \quad (2.25)$$

where $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denotes the natural pairing between M' and M . Then we have the following [FHL]:

Lemma 2.7. *$(M', Y_{M'})$ is an admissible g^{-1} -twisted V -module.*

Lemma 2.7 is needed in the proof of several results in Section 6 although we do not intend to give these proofs (cf. [DLM2]).

3 The associative algebra $A_g(V)$

Let r be an integer between 0 and $T - 1$ (or $T_0 - 1$). We will also use r to denote its residue class modulo T or T_0 . For homogeneous $u \in V^{r*}$, we set $\delta_r = 1$ if $r = 0$ and $\delta_r = 0$ if $r \neq 0$. Let $v \in V$ we define

$$u \circ_g v = \text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}u-1+\delta_r+\frac{r}{T}}}{z^{1+\delta_r}} Y(u, z)v \quad (3.1)$$

where $(1+z)^\alpha$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ is to be expanded in nonnegative integer powers of z . Let $O_g(V)$ be the linear span of all $u \circ_g v$ and define the linear space $A_g(V)$ to be the quotient $V/O_g(V)$. We will use $A(V), O(V), u \circ v$, when $g = 1$. The $A(V)$ was constructed in [KW] and if V is a vertex operator, $A_g(V)$ was constructed in [DLM2].

Lemma 3.1. *If $r \neq 0$ then $V^{r*} \subseteq O_g(V)$.*

Proof: The proof is the same as that of Lemma 2.1 in [DLM2]. □

Let $I = O_g(V) \cap V^{0*}$. Then $A_g(V) \simeq V^{0*}/I$ (as linear spaces). Since $O(V^{0*}) \subset I$, $A_g(V)$ is a quotient of $A(V^{0*})$.

We now define a product $*_g$ on V which will induce an associative product in $A_g(V)$. Let r, u and v be as above and set

$$u *_g v = \begin{cases} \text{Res}_z(Y(u, z) \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}_u}}{z} v) & \text{if } r = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } r > 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

As in [DLM2] we extend $*_g$ linearly to obtain a bilinear product on V . Then the restriction of $*_g$ to V^{0*} coincides with that of [KW]. As before we will use $*$ instead of $*_g$ if $g = 1$. If $u \in V^{0*}$ then we can write (3.2) as the following form

$$u *_g v = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{\text{wt}_u}{i} u_{i-1} v. \quad (3.3)$$

Lemma 3.2. (i) Assume that $u \in V^{r*}$ is homogeneous, $v \in V$ and $m \geq n \geq 0$. Then

$$\text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}_u - 1 + \delta_r + \frac{r}{T} + n}}{z^{m + \delta_r + 1}} Y(u, z) v \in O_g(V).$$

(ii) Assume that $u, v \in V^{0*}$ are homogeneous. Then

$$u * v - (-1)^{\tilde{u}\tilde{v}} \text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}_v - 1}}{z} Y(v, z) u \in O(V^{0*})$$

and

$$(iii) u * v - (-1)^{\tilde{u}\tilde{v}} v * u - \text{Res}_z (1+z)^{\text{wt}_u - 1} Y(u, z) v \in O(V^{0*}).$$

Proof: See the proofs of Lemmas 2.1.2 and 2.1.3 of [Z] by noting that

$$Y(u, z) v \equiv (-1)^{\tilde{u}\tilde{v}} (1+z)^{-\text{wt}_u - \text{wt}_v} Y(v, \frac{-z}{1+z} u) \mod O_g(V^{0*})$$

and

$$Y(u, z) v = (-1)^{\tilde{u}\tilde{v}} e^{zL(-1)} Y(v, -z) u$$

(cf. [KW]). □

Here is our first main result.

Theorem 3.3. (i) $A_g(V)$ is an associative algebra under $*_g$.

(ii) $1 + O_g(V)$ is the unit of $A_g(V)$.

(iii) $\omega + O_g(V)$ lies in the center of $A_g(V)$.

Proof: First we prove that $*_g$ is well defined on $A_g(V)$. It is equivalent to prove that $O_g(V)$ is a 2-sided ideal of V under $*_g$. Since $V^{r*} *_g V = 0$ if $r \neq 0$ and $V^{0*} *_g V^{r*} \subseteq V^{r*} \subseteq O_g(V)$, it is enough to prove that $I = O_g(V) \cap V^{0*}$ is a two sided ideal of V^{0*} under $*$. The proof given here is similar to that of Proposition 2.3 of [DLM2].

Let $c \in V^{0*}$ be homogeneous and $u \in I$. We need to show that both

$$c * u = \text{Res}_z \left(\frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}_c}}{z} Y(c, z) u \right) \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$u * c \equiv \text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}c-1}}{z} Y(c, z) u \pmod{I} \quad (3.5)$$

lie in I .

From (2.10) it suffices to take $u = a \circ_g b$ where $a \in V^{r*}$ and $b \in V^{(T-r)*}$ are both homogeneous. Set $x_0 = c * u$, $x_1 = u * c$ and recall the twisted Jacobi identity on V :

$$\begin{aligned} z_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_0} \right) Y(c, z_1) Y(a, z_2) b - (-1)^{\tilde{c}\tilde{a}} z_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_2 - z_1}{-z_0} \right) Y(a, z_2) Y(c, z_1) b \\ = z_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right) Y(Y(c, z_0) a, z_2) b. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

For $\varepsilon = 0$ or 1 , (3.6) implies:

$$\begin{aligned} x_\varepsilon &= \text{Res}_{z_1} \frac{(1+z_1)^{\text{wt}c-\varepsilon}}{z_1} Y(c, z_1) \text{Res}_{z_2} \frac{(1+z_2)^{\text{wt}a-1+\delta_r+\frac{r}{T}}}{z_2^{1+\delta_r}} Y(a, z_2) b \\ &= \text{Res}_{z_1} \text{Res}_{z_2} \frac{(1+z_1)^{\text{wt}c-\varepsilon}}{z_1} Y(c, z_1) \frac{(1+z_2)^{\text{wt}a-1+\delta_r+\frac{r}{T}}}{z_2^{1+\delta_r}} Y(a, z_2) b \\ &= (-1)^{\tilde{a}\tilde{c}} \text{Res}_{z_1} \text{Res}_{z_2} \frac{(1+z_1)^{\text{wt}c-\varepsilon}}{z_1} \frac{(1+z_2)^{\text{wt}a-1+\delta_r+\frac{r}{T}}}{z_2^{1+\delta_r}} Y(a, z_2) Y(c, z_1) b \\ &\quad + \text{Res}_{z_1} \text{Res}_{z_2} \text{Res}_{z_0} \frac{(1+z_1)^{\text{wt}c-\varepsilon}}{z_1} \frac{(1+z_2)^{\text{wt}a-1+\delta_r+\frac{r}{T}}}{z_2^{1+\delta_r}} z_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right) Y(Y(c, z_0) a, z_2) b \\ &= (-1)^{\tilde{c}\tilde{a}} \text{Res}_{z_1} \text{Res}_{z_2} \frac{(1+z_1)^{\text{wt}c-\varepsilon}}{z_1} \frac{(1+z_2)^{\text{wt}a-1+\delta_r+\frac{r}{T}}}{z_2^{1+\delta_r}} Y(a, z_2) Y(c, z_1) b \\ &\quad + \text{Res}_{z_2} \text{Res}_{z_0} \frac{(1+z_2+z_0)^{\text{wt}c-\varepsilon}}{z_2+z_0} \frac{(1+z_2)^{\text{wt}a-1+\delta_r+\frac{r}{T}}}{z_2^{1+\delta_r}} Y(Y(c, z_0) a, z_2) b \\ &= (-1)^{\tilde{c}\tilde{a}} \text{Res}_{z_2} \frac{(1+z_2)^{\text{wt}a-1+\delta_r+\frac{r}{T}}}{z_2^{1+\delta_r}} Y(a, z_2) \text{Res}_{z_1} \frac{(1+z_1)^{\text{wt}c-\varepsilon}}{z_1} Y(c, z_1) b \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j \binom{\text{wt}c-\varepsilon}{i} \text{Res}_{z_2} \frac{(1+z_2)^{\text{wt}a-1+\delta_r+\frac{r}{T}+\text{wt}c-\varepsilon-i}}{z_2^{j+2+\delta_r}} Y(c_{i+j} a, z_2) b \\ &= (-1)^{\tilde{c}\tilde{a}} \text{Res}_{z_2} \frac{(1+z_2)^{\text{wt}a-1+\delta_r+\frac{r}{T}}}{z_2^{1+\delta_r}} Y(a, z_2) \text{Res}_{z_1} \frac{(1+z_1)^{\text{wt}c-\varepsilon}}{z_1} Y(c, z_1) b \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j \binom{\text{wt}c-\varepsilon}{i} \text{Res}_{z_2} \frac{(1+z_2)^{\text{wt}(c_{i+j} a)-1+\delta_r+\frac{r}{T}+j+1-\varepsilon}}{z_2^{j+2+\delta_r}} Y(c_{i+j} a, z_2) b. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear by the definition of $O_g(V)$ and Lemma 3.2 (i) that the resulting vector lies in I . This shows that I is an ideal of V^{0*} .

Next we prove that $*_g$ is associative. We need to verify that $(a * b) * c - a * (b * c) \in O_g(V^{0*})$ for $a, b, c \in V^{0*}$. A straightforward computation using the twisted Jacobi identity gives

$$\begin{aligned}
(a * b) * c &= \sum_{i=0}^{\text{wt}a} (a_{i-1}b) * c \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{\text{wt}a} \binom{\text{wt}a}{i} \text{Res}_w(Y(a_{i-1}b, w) \frac{(1+w)^{\text{wt}(a_{i-1}b)}}{w} c) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{\text{wt}a} \binom{\text{wt}a}{i} \text{Res}_w \text{Res}_{z-w}(Y(Y(a, z-w)b, w)(z-w)^{i-1} \frac{(1+w)^{\text{wt}a+\text{wt}b-i}}{w} c) \\
&= \text{Res}_w \text{Res}_{z-w}(Y(Y(a, z-w)b, w) \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}a}(1+w)^{\text{wt}b}}{w(z-w)} c) \\
&= \text{Res}_w \text{Res}_z(Y(a, z)Y(b, w) \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}a}(1+w)^{\text{wt}b}}{w(z-w)} c) \\
&\quad - (-1)^{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} \text{Res}_w \text{Res}_z(Y(b, w)Y(a, z) \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}a}(1+w)^{\text{wt}b}}{w(z-w)} c) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \text{Res}_w \text{Res}_z(Y(a, z)Y(b, w)(-1)^i z^{-1-i} w^i \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}a}(1+w)^{\text{wt}b}}{w} c) \\
&\quad - (-1)^{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \text{Res}_w \text{Res}_z(Y(b, w)Y(a, z)(-1)^{i+1} z^i w^{-i-1} \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}a}(1+w)^{\text{wt}b}}{w} c) \\
&\equiv \text{Res}_z \text{Res}_w(Y(a, z)Y(b, w) \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}a}(1+w)^{\text{wt}b}}{zw} c) \mod O_g(V^{0*}) \\
&\equiv a * (b * c) \mod O_g(V^{0*})
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $A_g(V) \simeq \frac{V^{0*}}{O_g(V) \cap V^{0*}}$ is an associative algebra under $*_g$. This finishes the proof of (i). The proofs of (ii) and (iii) are immediate. $\square$

4 The Lie superalgebra $V[g]$

Let V be a vertex operator superalgebra with automorphism g of order T_0 . We can endow $\mathbb{C}[t^{\frac{1}{T_0}}, t^{-\frac{1}{T_0}}]$ the structure of a vertex algebra with vertex operator

$$Y(f(t), z)g(t) = f(t+z)g(t) = \left(e^{z\frac{d}{dt}} f(t) \right) g(t). \quad (4.1)$$

(see [B]). Then the tensor product

$$\mathcal{L}(V) = \mathbb{C}[t^{\frac{1}{T_0}}, t^{-\frac{1}{T_0}}] \otimes V. \quad (4.2)$$

is a vertex superalgebra with vertex operator

$$Y(f(t) \otimes v, z)(g(t) \otimes u) = f(t+z)g(t) \otimes Y(v, z)u. \quad (4.3)$$

The $L(-1)$ operator of $\mathcal{L}(V)$ is given by $D = \frac{d}{dt} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes L(-1)$. Extends g to an automorphism of vertex superalgebra in the following way:

$$g(t^m \otimes a) = \exp\left(\frac{-2\pi im}{T_0}\right)(t^m \otimes ga). \quad (4.4)$$

Let $\mathcal{L}(V, g)$ be the g -invariants which is a vertex sub-superalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(V)$. Clearly,

$$\mathcal{L}(V, g) = \bigoplus_{r=0}^{T_0-1} t^{r/T_0} \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \otimes V^r. \quad (4.5)$$

Following [B], we know that

$$V[g] = \mathcal{L}(V, g)/D\mathcal{L}(V, g) \quad (4.6)$$

is a Lie superalgebra with bracket

$$[u + D\mathcal{L}(V, g), v + D\mathcal{L}(V, g)] = u_0v + D\mathcal{L}(V, g). \quad (4.7)$$

For short let $a(q)$ be the image of $t^q \otimes a \in \mathcal{L}(V, g)$ in $V[g]$. Then we have

Lemma 4.1. *Let $a \in V^r$, $v \in V^s$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then*

- (i) $[\omega(0), a(m + \frac{r}{T_0})] = -\left(m + \frac{r}{T_0}\right) a(m - 1 + \frac{r}{T_0})$.
- (ii) $[a(m + \frac{r}{T_0}), b(n + \frac{s}{T_0})] = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{m + \frac{r}{T_0}}{i} a_i b(m + n + \frac{r+s}{T_0} - i)$.
- (iii) $\mathbf{1}(-1)$ lies in the center of $V[g]$.

For homogeneous $a \in V$, we define

$$\deg(t^n \otimes a) = wta - n - 1 \quad (4.8)$$

then $\mathcal{L}(V)$ is $\frac{1}{T}\mathbb{Z}$ -graded. Since D increases degree by 1, $D\mathcal{L}(V, g)$ is a graded subspace of $\mathcal{L}(V, g)$ and $V[g]$ is naturally $\frac{1}{T}\mathbb{Z}$ -graded:

$$V[g] = \bigoplus_{n \in \frac{1}{T}\mathbb{Z}} V[g]_n.$$

By Lemma 4.1, $V[g]$ is a $\frac{1}{T}\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie superalgebra with the triangular decomposition

$$V[g] = V[g]_+ \oplus V[g]_0 \oplus V[g]_-. \quad (4.9)$$

where $V[g]_{\pm} = \sum_{0 < n \in \frac{1}{T}\mathbb{Z}} V[g]_{\pm n}$.

Lemma 4.2. $V[g]_0$ is spanned by elements of the form $a(wta - 1)$ for homogeneous $a \in V^{0*}$.

Proof: Let $a \in V$. Then the degree $\text{wta} - n - 1$ of $a(n)$ is 0 if and only if $a \in V_0^0$ and $n = \text{wta} - 1$ or $a \in V_1^{T_0/2}$ and $n = \text{wta} - 1$. $\square$

The bracket of $V[g]_0$ is given by

$$[a(\text{wta} - 1), b(\text{wtb} - 1)] = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{\text{wta} - 1}{j} a_j b(\text{wt}(a_j b) - 1). \quad (4.10)$$

Set $o(a) = a(\text{wta} - 1)$ for homogeneous $a \in V^{0*}$ and extend linearly to all $a \in V^{0*}$. This gives a linear map

$$\begin{aligned} V^{0*} &\rightarrow V[g]_0, \\ a &\mapsto o(a). \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

As the kernel of the map is $(L(-1) + L(0))V^{0*}$, we obtain an isomorphism of Lie superalgebras $V^{0*}/(L(-1) + L(0))V^{0*} \cong V[g]_0$. The bracket on the quotient of V^{0*} is given by

$$[a, b] = \sum_{j \geq 0} \binom{\text{wta} - 1}{j} a_j b.$$

Lemma 4.3. *Let $A_g(V)_{Lie}$ be the Lie superalgebra of the associative algebra $A_g(V)$ introduced in section 3 such that $[u, v] = u *_g v - (-1)^{\tilde{u}\tilde{v}} v *_g u$. Then the map $o(a) \mapsto a + O_g(V)$ is an onto Lie superalgebra homomorphism from $V[g]_0$ to $A_g(V)_{Lie}$.*

Proof: Recall that $I = O_g(V) \cap V^{0*}$. So we have a surjective linear map

$$V[g]_0 \cong V^{0*}/(L(-1) + L(0))V^{0*} \rightarrow V^{0*}/I \simeq A_g(V),$$

$$o(a) \rightarrow a + (L(-1) + L(0))V^{0*} \rightarrow a + I. \quad (4.12)$$

The Lie homomorphism follows from

$$[o(a), o(b)] = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{\text{wta} - 1}{j} o(a_j b).$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [a + O_g(V), b + O_g(V)] &\equiv a *_g b - (-1)^{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} b *_g a \\ &\equiv \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{\text{wta} - 1}{j} a_j b \\ &\equiv \text{Res}_z (1 + z)^{\text{wta} - 1} Y(a, z) b \mod O_g(V^{0*}) \\ &\equiv \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{\text{wta} - 1}{i} a_i b \mod O_g(V^{0*}). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

5 The functor Ω

The main purpose in this section is to construct a covariant functor Ω from the category of weak g -twisted V -modules to the category of $A_g(V)$ -modules (cf. Theorem 5.1). Let M be a weak g -twisted V -module. We define the space of “lowest weight vectors” to be

$$\Omega(M) = \{w \in M \mid u_{\text{wt}_{u+n}} w = 0, u \in V, n \geq 0\}.$$

The main result in this section says that $\Omega(M)$ is an $A_g(V)$ -module. Moreover if $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a morphism in weak g -twisted V -modules, the restriction $\Omega(f)$ of f to $\Omega(M)$ is an $A_g(V)$ -module morphism.

Note that if M is a weak g -twisted V -module then M becomes a $V[g]$ -module such that $a(m)$ acts as a_m . Moreover, M is an admissible g -twisted V -module if and only if M is a $\frac{1}{T}\mathbb{Z}_+$ -graded module for the graded Lie superalgebra $V[g]$ (cf. Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2 of [DLM2]).

Theorem 5.1. *Let M be a weak g -twisted V -module. Then the map $a \mapsto o(a)$ for homogeneous $a \in V^{0*}$ gives a representation of the associative algebra $A_g(V)$ on $\Omega(M)$.*

Proof: We first show that $\Omega(M)$ is invariant under the action of $o(a)$ for $a \in V$. Let $b \in V$, $w \in M$ and $n \geq \text{wt}b$. By (2.18),

$$b_n o(a)v = b_n a_{\text{wt}_{a-1}} v = a_{\text{wt}_{a-1}} b_n v + \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{n}{i} (b_i a)_{\text{wt}_{a+n-1-i}} v = 0$$

as $\text{wt}a + n - 1 - i$ is greater than or equal to $\text{wt}(b_i a)$.

Next we show that the action is well defined. For $a \in V^{r*}$ and $0 < r < T$, $o(a) = 0$ by Lemma 4.2. It remains to check that $o(a) = 0$ on $\Omega(M)$ for $a \in I$.

Note that $a(\text{wt}a - 1 + n)\Omega(M) = 0$ for any $n > 0$ and $a \in V^{0*}$. There are two cases: $a \in O(V^{0*})$ or

$$a = \text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}_{c-1} + \frac{r}{T}}}{z} Y(u, z)v$$

with $u \in V^{r*}$, $v \in V^{(T-r)*}$, $0 \leq r \leq T-1$.

If $a \in O(V^{0*})$ then there exist $u, v \in V^{0*}$ such that

$$a = \text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}_u}}{z^2} Y(u, z)v.$$

The argument in the Proof of Theorem 2.1.2 in [Z] with suitable modification gives

$$o(u * v) = o(u)o(v).$$

Note that $o(L(-1)u + L(0)u) = 0$ and $(L(-1)u + L(0)u) * v = u \circ v$. We immediately have $o(u \circ v) = 0$ on $\Omega(M)$.

If

$$a = \text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}_c-1+\frac{r}{T}}}{z} Y(u, z)v,$$

we can use Lemma 2.4. Since $z^{\text{wt}_u-1+\frac{r}{T}} Y_M(u, z)w$ involves only nonnegative integer powers of z for $w \in \Omega(M)$, we have

$$(z_0 + z_2)^{\text{wt}_u-1+\frac{r}{T}} Y_M(u, z_0 + z_2) Y_M(v, z_2)w = (z_2 + z_0)^{\text{wt}_u-1+\frac{r}{T}} Y_M(Y(u, z_0)v, z_2)w. \quad (5.1)$$

Applying $\text{Res}_{z_0} \text{Res}_{z_2} z_0^{-1} z_2^{\text{wt}_v-\frac{r}{T}}$ to (5.1) yields

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \text{Res}_{z_0} \text{Res}_{z_2} z_0^{-1} z_2^{\text{wt}_v-\frac{r}{T}} (z_2 + z_0)^{\text{wt}_u-1+\frac{r}{T}} Y_M(Y(u, z_0)v, z_2)w \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{\text{wt}_u-1+\frac{r}{T}}{i} \text{Res}_{z_2} z_2^{\text{wt}_u+\text{wt}_v-i-1} Y_M(u_{i-1}v, z_2)w \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{\text{wt}_u-1+\frac{r}{T}}{i} o(u_{i-1}v)w \\ &= o \left(\text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}_u-1+\frac{r}{T}}}{z} Y_M(u, z)v \right) w \\ &= o(a)w \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

as required. $\square$

If M is a nonzero admissible g -twisted V -modules we may and do assume that $M(0)$ is nonzero with suitable degree shift. With these conventions we have

Proposition 5.2. *Let M be a simple admissible g -twisted V -module. Then the following hold*

- (i) $\Omega(M) = M(0)$.
- (ii) $\Omega(M)$ is a simple $A_g(V)$ -module.

Proof: The proof is the same as in [DLM2].

6 Generalized Verma modules and the functor L

In this section we focus on how to construct admissible g -twisted V -modules from a given $A_g(V)$ -module U . We use the same trick which was used in [DLM2] to do this. We will define two g -twisted admissible V -modules $\bar{M}(U)$ and $L(U)$. The $\bar{M}(U)$ is the universal admissible g -twisted V -module such that $\bar{M}(U)(0) = U$ and $L(U)$ is smallest admissible g -twisted V -module whose $L(U)(0) = U$. Just as in the classical highest weight module theory, $L(U)$ is the unique irreducible quotient of $\bar{M}(U)$ if U is simple.

We start with an $A_g(V)$ -module U . Then U is automatically a module for $A_g(V)_{\text{Lie}}$. By Lemma 4.3 U is lifted to a module for the Lie superalgebra $V[g]_0$. Let $V[g]_-$ act trivially on U and extend U to a $P = V[g]_- \oplus V[g]_0$ -module. Consider the induced module

$$M(U) = \text{Ind}_P^{V[g]}(U) = U(V[g]) \otimes_{U(P)} U \quad (6.1)$$

which is $\frac{1}{T}\mathbb{Z}_+$ -graded module for $V[g]$ by giving U degree 0. Then $M(U)(n) = U(V[g]_+)_n U$ by PBW theorem and in particular $M(U)(0) = U$.

For $v \in V$ we set

$$Y_{M(U)}(v, z) = \sum_{m \in \frac{1}{T_0}\mathbb{Z}} v(m) z^{-m-1} \quad (6.2)$$

Then $Y_{M(U)}(v, z)$ satisfies condition (2.12)-(2.14). By Lemma 4.1 (ii), the identity (2.18) holds. But this is not good enough to establish the twisted Jacobi identity for the action (6.2) on $M(U)$.

Let W be the subspace of $M(U)$ spanned linearly by the coefficients of

$$(z_0 + z_2)^{\text{wt}a-1+\delta_r+\frac{r}{T}} Y(a, z_0 + z_2) Y(b, z_2) u - (z_2 + z_0)^{\text{wt}a-1+\delta_r+\frac{r}{T}} Y(Y(a, z_0) b, z_2) u \quad (6.3)$$

for any homogeneous $a \in V^{r*}$, $b \in V$, $u \in U$. We set

$$\bar{M}(U) = M(U)/U(V[g])W. \quad (6.4)$$

Proposition 6.1. *Let M be a $V[g]$ -module such that there is a subspace U of M satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) $M = U(V[g])U$;
- (ii) For any $a \in V^{r*}$ and $u \in U$ there is $k \in \text{wt}a + \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$(z_0 + z_2)^{k+\frac{r}{T}} Y(a, z_0 + z_2) Y(b, z_2) u = (z_0 + z_2)^{k+\frac{r}{T}} Y(Y(a, z_0) b, z_2) u \quad (6.5)$$

for any $b \in V$. Then M is a weak V -module.

Proof: We only need to prove the twisted Jacobi identity, which is equivalent to commutator relation (2.17) and the associativity (2.16). But the commutator formula is built in already as M is a $V[g]$ -module. By Lemma 2.4, the assumption (ii) can be reformulated as follows:

- (ii') For any $a \in V^r$ and $u \in U$ there is $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$(z_0 + z_2)^{k+\frac{r}{T_0}} Y(a, z_0 + z_2) Y(b, z_2) u = (z_0 + z_2)^{k+\frac{r}{T_0}} Y(Y(a, z_0) b, z_2) u \quad (6.6)$$

Since M is a $V[g]$ -module generated by U it is enough to prove that if u satisfies (ii') then $c_n u$ also satisfies (ii') for $c \in V$ and $n \in \frac{1}{T_0}\mathbb{Z}$.

Let k_1 be a positive integer such that $c_i a = 0$ for $i \geq k_1$. Let k_2 be a positive integer such that

$$(z_0 + z_2)^{k_2+\frac{r+s}{T_0}} Y(c_i a, z_0 + z_2) Y(b, z_2) u = (z_2 + z_0)^{k_2+\frac{r+s}{T_0}} Y(Y(c_i a, z_0) b, z_2) u, \quad (6.7)$$

$$(z_0 + z_2)^{k_2+\frac{r+s}{T_0}} Y(a, z_0 + z_2) Y(c_i b, z_2) u = (z_2 + z_0)^{k_2+\frac{r+s}{T_0}} Y(Y(a, z_0) c_i b, z_2) u \quad (6.8)$$

for any nonnegative integer i where we have assumed that $c \in V^s$.

Let k be a positive integer such that $k + \frac{r}{T_0} + n - k_1 > k_2 + \frac{r+s}{T_0}$. Using (6.7) and (6.8) and the bracket relation (ii) in Lemma 4.1

$$[a_m, Y(b, z_2)] = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{m}{i} z_2^{m-i} Y(a_i b, z_2) \quad (6.9)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (z_0 + z_2)^{k+\frac{r}{T_0}} Y(a, z_0 + z_2) Y(b, z_2) c_n u \\
&= (-1)^{\tilde{a}\tilde{c}} (-1)^{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}} (z_0 + z_2)^{k+\frac{r}{T_0}} c_n Y(a, z_0 + z_2) Y(b, z_2) u \\
&\quad - (-1)^{\tilde{a}\tilde{c}} (-1)^{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{i} (z_0 + z_2)^{k+\frac{r}{T_0}+n-i} Y(c_i a, z_0 + z_2) Y(b, z_2) u \\
&\quad - (-1)^{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{i} z_2^{n-i} (z_0 + z_2)^{k+\frac{r}{T_0}} Y(a, z_0 + z_2) Y(c_i b, z_2) u \\
&= (-1)^{\tilde{a}\tilde{c}} (-1)^{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}} (z_0 + z_2)^{k+\frac{r}{T_0}} c_n Y(Y(a, z_0) b, z_2) u \\
&\quad - (-1)^{\tilde{a}\tilde{c}} (-1)^{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{i} (z_2 + z_0)^{k+\frac{r}{T_0}+n-i} Y(Y(c_i a, z_0) b, z_2) u \\
&\quad - (-1)^{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{i} z_2^{n-i} (z_2 + z_0)^{k+\frac{r}{T_0}} Y(Y(a, z_0) c_i b, z_2) u \\
&= (-1)^{\tilde{a}\tilde{c}} (-1)^{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}} (z_0 + z_2)^{k+\frac{r}{T_0}} c_n Y(Y(a, z_0) b, z_2) u \\
&\quad - (-1)^{\tilde{a}\tilde{c}} (-1)^{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{i} (z_2 + z_0)^{k+\frac{r}{T_0}+n-i} Y(Y(c_i a, z_0) b, z_2) u \\
&\quad - (-1)^{\tilde{a}\tilde{c}} (-1)^{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{i} z_2^{n-i} (z_2 + z_0)^{k+\frac{r}{T_0}} Y(c_i Y(a, z_0) b, z_2) u \\
&\quad + (-1)^{\tilde{a}\tilde{c}} (-1)^{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{j} \binom{j}{i} z_2^{n-i} (z_2 + z_0)^{k+\frac{r}{T_0}} z_0^{i-j} Y(Y(c_j a, z_0) b, z_2) u \\
&= (-1)^{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}} (-1)^{\tilde{a}\tilde{c}} (z_0 + z_2)^{k+\frac{r}{T_0}} c_n Y(Y(a, z_0) b, z_2) u \\
&\quad - (-1)^{\tilde{a}\tilde{c}} (-1)^{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{i} (z_2 + z_0)^{k+\frac{r}{T_0}+n-i} Y(Y(c_i a, z_0) b, z_2) u \\
&\quad - (-1)^{\tilde{a}\tilde{c}} (-1)^{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{i} z_2^{n-i} (z_2 + z_0)^{k+\frac{r}{T_0}} Y(c_i Y(a, z_0) b, z_2) u \\
&\quad + (-1)^{\tilde{a}\tilde{c}} (-1)^{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=j}^{\infty} \binom{n}{j} \binom{n-j}{i-j} z_2^{n-i} (z_2 + z_0)^{k+\frac{r}{T_0}} z_0^{i-j} Y(Y(c_j a, z_0) b, z_2) u \\
&= (-1)^{\tilde{a}\tilde{c}} (-1)^{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}} (z_0 + z_2)^{k+\frac{r}{T_0}} c_n Y(Y(a, z_0) b, z_2) u \\
&\quad - (-1)^{\tilde{a}\tilde{c}} (-1)^{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{i} z_2^{n-i} (z_2 + z_0)^{k+\frac{r}{T_0}} Y(c_i Y(a, z_0) b, z_2) u \\
&= (-1)^{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}} (-1)^{\tilde{a}\tilde{c}} (z_0 + z_2)^{k+\frac{r}{T_0}} c_n Y(Y(a, z_0) b, z_2) u \\
&\quad - (-1)^{\tilde{a}\tilde{c}} (-1)^{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}} (z_2 + z_0)^{k+\frac{r}{T_0}} [c_n, Y(Y(a, z_0) b, z_2)] u \\
&= (-1)^{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}} (-1)^{\tilde{a}\tilde{c}} (-1)^{(\tilde{a}+\tilde{b})\tilde{c}} (z_2 + z_0)^{k+\frac{r}{T_0}} Y(Y(a, z_0) b, z_2) c_n u, \\
&= (z_2 + z_0)^{k+\frac{r}{T_0}} Y(Y(a, z_0) b, z_2) c_n u.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. $\square$

Applying Proposition 6.1 to $\bar{M}(U)$ gives the following main result of this section.

Theorem 6.2. *$\bar{M}(U)$ is an admissible g -twisted V -module with $\bar{M}(U)(0) = U$ and with the following universal property: for any weak g -twisted V -module M and any $A_g(V)$ -morphism $\phi : U \rightarrow \Omega(M)$, there is a unique morphism $\bar{\phi} : \bar{M}(U) \rightarrow M$ of weak g -twisted V -modules which extends ϕ .*

As in [DLM2] we also have

Theorem 6.3. *$M(U)$ has a unique maximal graded $V[g]$ -submodule J with the property that $J \cap U = 0$. Then $L(U) = M(U)/J$ is an admissible g -twisted V -module satisfying $\Omega(L(U)) \cong U$.*

L defines a functor from the category of $A_g(V)$ -modules to the category of admissible g -twisted V -modules such that $\Omega \circ L$ is naturally equivalent to the identity.

We have a pair of functors Ω, L between the $A_g(V)$ -module category and admissible g -twisted V -module category. Although $\Omega \circ L$ is equivalent to the identity, $L \circ \Omega$ is not equivalent to the identity in general.

The following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 6.3.

Lemma 6.4. *Suppose that U is a simple $A_g(V)$ -module. Then $L(U)$ is a simple admissible g -twisted V -module.*

Using Lemma 6.4, Proposition 5.2 (ii), Theorems 6.2 and 6.3 gives:

Theorem 6.5. *L and Ω are equivalent when restricted to the full subcategories of completely reducible $A_g(V)$ -modules and completely reducible admissible g -twisted V -modules respectively. In particular, L and Ω induces mutually inverse bijections on the isomorphism classes of simple objects in the category of $A_g(V)$ -modules and admissible g -twisted V -modules respectively.*

We now apply the obtained results to g -rational vertex operator superalgebras to obtain:

Theorem 6.6. *Suppose that V is a g -rational vertex operator superalgebra. Then the following hold:*

- (a) *$A_g(V)$ is a finite-dimensional, semi-simple associative algebra (possibly 0).*
- (b) *V has only finitely many isomorphism classes of simple admissible g -twisted modules.*
- (c) *Every simple admissible g -twisted V -module is an ordinary g -twisted V -module.*
- (d) *V is g^{-1} -rational.*
- (e) *The functors L, Ω are mutually inverse categorical equivalences between the category of $A_g(V)$ -modules and the category of admissible g -twisted V -modules.*
- (f) *The functors L, Ω induce mutually inverse categorical equivalences between the category of finite-dimensional $A_g(V)$ -modules and the category of ordinary g -twisted V -modules.*

The proof is the same as that of Theorem 8.1 in [DLM2].

7 Examples

In this section we discuss the well known vertex operator superalgebras constructed from the free fermions and their twisted modules. In particular we compute the algebra $A_g(V)$ and classify the irreducible twisted modules using $A_g(V)$. The classification results have been obtained previously in [Li2] with a different approach.

Let $H = \sum_{i=1}^l \mathbb{C}a_i$ be a complex vector space equipped with a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ such that $\{a_i | i = 1, 2, \dots, l\}$ form an orthonormal basis. Let $A(H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2})$ be the associative algebra generated by $\{a(n) | a \in H, n \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}\}$ subject to the relation

$$[a(n), b(m)]_+ = (a, b)\delta_{m+n, 0}.$$

Let $A^+(H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2})$ be the subalgebra generated by $\{a(n) | a \in H, n \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}, n > 0\}$, and make $\mathbb{C}$ a 1-dimensional $A^+(H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2})$ -module so that $a_i(n)1 = 0$ for $n > 0$.

Consider the induced module

$$\begin{aligned} V(H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}) &= A(H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}) \otimes_{A^+(H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2})} \mathbb{C} \\ &\cong \Lambda[a_i(-n) | n > 0, n \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}, i = 1, 2, \dots, l] \text{ (linearly)}. \end{aligned}$$

The action of $a_i(n)$ is given by $\frac{\partial}{\partial a_i(-n)}$ if n is positive and by multiplication by $a_i(n)$ if n is negative.

The $V(H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2})$ is naturally graded by $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ so that

$$V(H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2})_n = \langle a_{i_1}(-n_1)a_{i_2}(-n_2) \cdots a_{i_k}(-n_k) | n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_k = n \rangle$$

Let $b_1, \dots, b_k \in H$ and $n_1, \dots, n_k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ we define a normal ordering

$$: b_1(n_1) \cdots b_k(n_k) : = (-1)^{|\sigma|} b_{i_1}(n_{i_1}) \cdots b_{i_k}(n_{i_k})$$

such that $n_{i_1} \leq \cdots \leq n_{i_k}$ where σ is the permutation of $\{1, \dots, k\}$ by sending j to i_j . For $a \in H$ set

$$Y(a(-1/2), z) = \sum_{n \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}} a(n) z^{-n-1/2}.$$

Let $v = b_1(-n_1 - \frac{1}{2}) \cdots b_k(-n_k - \frac{1}{2})$ be a general vector in $V(H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2})$ where n_i are nonnegative integers. We set

$$Y(v, z) =: (\partial_{n_1} b_1(z)) \cdots (\partial_{n_k} b_k(z)) :$$

where $\partial_n = \frac{1}{n!}(\frac{d}{dz})^n$. Then we have a linear map:

$$\begin{aligned} V(H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}) &\rightarrow (\text{End } V(H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}))[[z, z^{-1}]] \\ v &\mapsto Y(v, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} v_n z^{-n-1} \quad (v_n \in \text{End } V(H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2})). \end{aligned} \quad (7.1)$$

Set $\mathbf{1} = 1$ and $\omega = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^l a_i(-\frac{3}{2})a_i(-\frac{1}{2})$. The following result is well known (cf. [FFR], [KW] and [Li1]).

Theorem 7.1. $(V(H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}), Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega)$ is a holomorphic vertex operator superalgebra generated by $a_i(-\frac{1}{2})$ for $i = 1, \dots, l$.

We have already mentioned in Section 2 that any vertex operator superalgebra has a canonical automorphism σ such that $\sigma = 1$ on V_0 and $\sigma = -1$ on V_1 . Note that $V(H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2})_{\bar{1}} \neq 0$. So σ is an order 2 automorphism of $V(H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2})_{\bar{1}}$. We next discuss the σ -twisted $V(H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2})$ -modules.

First we discuss the case when $l = 2k$ (k is a positive integer) is even. The H can be written as

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{C}b_i + \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{C}b_i^*$$

with $(b_i, b_j) = (b_i^*, b_j^*) = 0$, $(b_i, b_j^*) = \delta_{i,j}$. Let $A(H, \mathbb{Z})$ be the associative algebra generated by $\{b(n)|b \in H, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ subject to the relation

$$[a(n), b(n)]_+ = (a, b)\delta_{m+n,0}$$

Let $A(H, \mathbb{Z})^+$ be the subalgebra generated by $\{b_i(n), b_i^*(m)|n \geq 0, m > 0, i = 1, \dots, k\}$, and make $\mathbb{C}$ a 1-dimensional $A(H, \mathbb{Z})^+$ -module so that $b_i(n)1 = 0$ for $n > 0$ and $b_i^*(n)1 = 0$ for $n \geq 0$ $i = 1, \dots, k$.

As before we consider the induced module

$$V(H, \mathbb{Z}) = A(H, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{A(H, \mathbb{Z})^+} \mathbb{C} \quad (7.2)$$

$$\cong \Lambda[b_i(-n), b_i^*(-m)|n, m \in \mathbb{Z}, n > 0, m \geq 0]. \quad (7.3)$$

Then $b_i(n)$ acts as $\frac{\partial}{\partial b_i(-n)^*}$ if n is nonnegative and multiplication by $b_i(n)$ if n is negative. Similarly, $b_i(n)^*$ acts as $\frac{\partial}{\partial b_i(-n)}$ if n is positive and multiplication by $b_i(n)^*$ if n is nonpositive.

Thanks to Proposition 4.3 in [Li2], $V(H, \mathbb{Z})$ is an irreducible σ -twisted $V(H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2})$ -module such that

$$Y_{V(H, \mathbb{Z})}(u(-\frac{1}{2}), z) = u(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} u(n)z^{-n-1/2}$$

for $u \in H$.

Proposition 7.2. If $\dim H = l = 2k$ is even, then $A_\sigma(V(H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}))$ is isomorphic to the matrix algebra $M_{2^k \times 2^k}(\mathbb{C})$ and $V(H, \mathbb{Z})$ is the unique irreducible σ -twisted $V(H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2})$ -module up to isomorphism.

Proof: By theorem 5.1, it is enough to show that $A_\sigma(V(H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}))$ is isomorphic to the matrix algebra $M_{2^k \times 2^k}(\mathbb{C})$.

Since $g = \sigma$, the decomposition (2.10) becomes $V = V^{0*}$. By lemma 3.2(i),

$$\text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{z^{2+m}} a_i(z)v = \sum_{s \geq 0} c_s a_i(-m+s-\frac{3}{2})v$$

lies in $O_\sigma(V(H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}))$ for $m \geq 0$ where $c_s = (\frac{1}{s})$. This implies that

$$a_i(-m - \frac{3}{2})v \equiv \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} c_s a_i(-m - \frac{3}{2} + s)v \mod O_\sigma(V(H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2})).$$

Thus $A_\sigma(V(H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}))$ is spanned by $b_1(-1/2)^{s_1} \cdots b_k(-1/2)^{s_k} b_1^*(-1/2)^{t_1} \cdots b_k^*(-1/2)^{t_k}$ with $s_i, t_i = 0, 1$. As a result, $\dim A_\sigma(V(H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2})) \leq 2^{2k}$.

On the other hand, $V(H, \mathbb{Z})$ is an irreducible σ -twisted $V(H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2})$ -module. By Theorem 5.1, $\Omega(V(H, \mathbb{Z}))$ is a simple $A_\sigma(V(H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}))$ -module and $\dim \Omega(V(H, \mathbb{Z})) = 2^k$. Then $\dim A_\sigma(V(H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2})) \geq \dim \Omega(V(H, \mathbb{Z})) = 2^{2k}$. This forces $\dim A_\sigma(V(H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2})) = 2^{2k}$ and $A_\sigma(V(H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2})) \cong M_{2^k \times 2^k}(\mathbb{C})$. $\square$

We now deal with the case $\dim H = 2k + 1$ for some nonnegative integer k . Then H can be decomposed into:

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{C} b_i + \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{C} b_i^* + \mathbb{C} e$$

with $(b_i, b_j) = (b_i^*, b_j^*) = 0$, $(b_i, b_j^*) = \delta_{i,j}$, $(e, b_i) = (e, b_i^*) = 0$, $(e, e) = 2$.

Let $A(H, \mathbb{Z})$ be the associative algebra generated same as above, and $A(H, \mathbb{Z})^+$ be the subalgebra generated by $\{b_i(n), b_i^*(m), e(n) | m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, m > 0, n \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, k\}$ and make $\mathbb{C}$ a 1-dimensional $A(H, \mathbb{Z})^+$ -module so that $b_i(n)1 = 0$ for $n \geq 0$ and $b_i^*(m)1 = e(m)1 = 0$ for $m > 0$, $i = 1, \dots, k$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} V(H, \mathbb{Z}) &= A(H, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{A(H, \mathbb{Z})^+} \mathbb{C} \\ &\cong \Lambda[b_i(-n), b_i^*(-m), e(-n) | n, m \in \mathbb{Z}, n > 0, m \geq 0] \end{aligned}$$

and let

$$W(H, \mathbb{Z}) = \Lambda[b_i(-n), b_i^*(-m), e(-n) | n, m \in \mathbb{Z}, n > 0, m \geq 0] = W(H, \mathbb{Z})^{even} \oplus W(H, \mathbb{Z})^{odd}$$

be the decomposition into the even and odd parity subspaces. Also define

$$V_\pm(H, \mathbb{Z}) = (1 \pm e(0))W(H, \mathbb{Z})^{even} \oplus (1 \mp e(0))W(H, \mathbb{Z})^{odd}.$$

Then

$$V(H, \mathbb{Z}) = V_+(H, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus V_-(H, \mathbb{Z})$$

and $V_\pm(H, \mathbb{Z})$ are irreducible $A(H, \mathbb{Z})$ -modules. The actions of $b_i(n), b_i^*(n)$ are the same as before. The $e(n)$ acts as $2\frac{\partial}{\partial e(-n)}$ if $n > 0$ and as multiplication by $e(n)$ if $n \leq 0$.

Again by Proposition 4.3 in [Li2], $V_\pm(H, \mathbb{Z})$ are irreducible σ -twisted modules for $V(H, \mathbb{Z} + 1/2)$ so that

$$Y_{V(H, \mathbb{Z})}(u(-\frac{1}{2}), z) = u(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} u(n) z^{-n-1/2}$$

for $u \in H$.

Proposition 7.3. *If $\dim H = 2k + 1$ is odd, then $A_\sigma(V(H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}))$ is isomorphic to $M_{2^k \times 2^k}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_{2^k \times 2^k}(\mathbb{C})$ and $V(H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2})$ has exactly two irreducible σ -twisted modules $V_\pm(H, \mathbb{Z})$ up to isomorphism.*

Proof: The proof is similar to that of Proposition 7.2. $\square$

Note that the automorphism σ of $V(H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2})$ is a lifting of -1 -isometry of H . It is natural to study the twisted modules for an arbitrary isometry of H .

Let H be a complex vector space as before with nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ and τ an isometry of H of order $N_0 < \infty$. Then we can extend τ to an automorphism of VOSA $V(H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2})$ as follows: For any $a_1(-n_1) \cdots a_s(-n_s) \in V(H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2})$,

$$\tau(a_1(-n_1)a_2(-n_2) \cdots a_m(-n_m)) = (\tau a_1)(-n_1)(\tau a_2)(-n_2) \cdots (\tau a_m)(-n_m).$$

Let $o(\tau\sigma) = N$. We decompose H into eigenspaces with respect to the $\tau\sigma$ and τ as follows:

$$H = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}} H^{r*} \quad (7.4)$$

$$H = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}/N_0\mathbb{Z}} H^r \quad (7.5)$$

where $H^{r*} = \{v \in H | \tau\sigma v = e^{2\pi i r/N} v\}$, and $H^r = \{v \in H | \tau v = e^{2\pi i r/N_0} v\}$.

Let $l_0 = \dim H^{0*}$. As before we need to consider two separate cases: l_0 is even or odd. If $l_0 = 2k_0$ for some nonnegative integer k_0 , we have

$$H^{0*} = \sum_{i=1}^{k_0} \mathbb{C} h_i + \sum_{i=1}^{k_0} \mathbb{C} h_i^*$$

with $(h_i, h_j) = (h_i^*, h_j^*) = 0$, $(h_i, h_j^*) = \delta_{i,j}$.

Let $l_r = \dim H^{r*}$ with $r \neq 0$. If $r \neq N - r$, we fix bases $b_{r,1}, b_{r,2}, \dots, b_{r,l_r} \in H^{r*}$ and $b_{r,1}^*, b_{r,2}^*, \dots, b_{r,l_r}^* \in H^{(N-r)*}$ such that $(b_{r,i}, b_{r,j}^*) = (b_{r,j}^*, b_{r,i}) = \delta_{i,j}$. If $r = N - r$, let $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{l_{\frac{N}{2}}}\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $H^{\frac{N}{2}*}$.

Then $M = \bigotimes_{r=1}^{N-1} \Lambda[b(-n) | n \in \frac{r}{N}, n > 0, b \in H^{r*}] \otimes \Lambda[h_i(-n), h_i^*(-m) | n, m \in \mathbb{Z}, n > 0, m \geq 0]$ is an irreducible τ -twisted $V(H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2})$ -module so that for $u \in H^{r*}$,

$$Y_M(u(-\frac{1}{2}), z) = u(z) = \sum_{n \in \frac{r}{N} + \mathbb{Z}} u(n) z^{-n-1/2}$$

(see [Li2]). Note that $b_{r,i}(n)$ acts as $\frac{\partial}{\partial b_{r,i}^*(-n)}$ if n is positive and acts as multiplication by $b_{r,i}(n)$ if n is negative. Similarly for $b_{r,i}^*(n)$. The $c_i(n)$ acts as $\frac{\partial}{\partial c_i(-n)}$ if n is positive and acts as multiplication by $c_i(n)$ if n is negative.

Also, $h_i(n)$ acts as $\frac{\partial}{\partial h_i^*(-n)}$ if n is nonnegative, and acts as multiplication by $h_i(n)$ if n is negative; $h_i^*(n)$ acts as $\frac{\partial}{\partial h_i(-n)}$ if n is positive, and acts as multiplication by $h_i^*(n)$ if n is nonnegative.

One can easily calculate that

$$\Omega(M) = \Lambda[h_i^*(0) | h_i^* \in H^{0*}, i = 1, 2, \dots, k_0].$$

So $\dim \Omega(M) = 2^{k_0}$.

Proposition 7.4. *If $\dim H^{0*} = l_0 = 2k_0$ then $M = \bigotimes_{r=1}^{N-1} \Lambda[b(-n)|n \in \frac{r}{N} + \mathbb{Z}, n > 0, b \in H^{r*}] \bigotimes \Lambda[h_i(-n), h_i^*(-m)|n, m \in \mathbb{Z}, n > 0, m \geq 0]$ is the unique τ -twisted irreducible $V(H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2})$ -module.*

Proof: As in the proof of Proposition 7.2, it is sufficient to show that $\dim A_\tau(V(H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2})) \leq 2^{l_0} = \dim \text{End} \Omega(M)$.

If $a \in H^{r*}$ with $r \neq 0$, by Lemma 3.2 (i) we see that for $m \geq 0, m \in \mathbb{Z}, b \in H$

$$\text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{\frac{r}{N}-\frac{1}{2}}}{z^{1+m}} a(z)b = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{\frac{r}{N}-\frac{1}{2}}{l} a(-m-\frac{1}{2}+l)b \in O_\tau(V(H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2})).$$

So using the same calculation done in Proposition 7.2, we conclude that $A_\tau(V)$ is spanned by

$$h_1(-1/2)^{s_1} \cdots h_{k_0}(-1/2)^{s_{k_0}} h_1^*(-1/2)^{t_1} \cdots h_{k_0}^*(-1/2)^{t_{k_0}}$$

with $s_i, t_i = 0, 1$. Hence $\dim A_\tau(V(H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2})) \leq 2^{l_0}$, as desired. $\square$

If $\dim H^{0*} = l_0 = 2k_0 + 1$, we can write H^{0*} as follows:

$$H^{0*} = \sum_{i=1}^{k_0} \mathbb{C}h_i + \sum_{i=1}^{k_0} \mathbb{C}h_i^* + \mathbb{C}e$$

with $(h_i, h_j) = (h_i^*, h_j^*) = 0, (h_i, h_j^*) = \delta_{i,j}, (e, h_i) = (e, h_i^*) = 0, (e, e) = 2$. Let

$$W^0(H, \mathbb{Z}) = \wedge[h_i(-n), h_i^*(-m), e(-n)|n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}] = W^0(H, \mathbb{Z})^{\text{even}} \oplus W^0(H, \mathbb{Z})^{\text{odd}}.$$

Also define

$$V_\pm^0(H, \mathbb{Z}) = (1 \pm e(0))W^0(H, \mathbb{Z})^{\text{even}} \oplus (1 \mp e(0))W^0(H, \mathbb{Z})^{\text{odd}}.$$

Then

$$M_\pm = V_\pm^0(H, \mathbb{Z}) \bigotimes \Lambda[a(-n)|a \in H^{r*}, n \in \frac{r}{N} + \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq r \leq N-1, n > 0]$$

are irreducible τ -twisted $V(H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2})$ -modules (see [Li2]). The actions of $a(n), h_i(m), h_i^*(m)$ for $a \in H^{r*}$ for $r \neq 0$ are the same as before. The $e(n)$ acts as $2\frac{\partial}{\partial e(-n)}$ if $n > 0$ and as multiplication by $e(n)$ if $n \leq 0$. The proof of Proposition 7.4 gives

Proposition 7.5. *If $\dim H^{0*} = 2k_0 + 1$ is odd, $V(H, \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2})$ has exactly two τ -twisted irreducible modules $M_\pm$ up to isomorphism.*

References

- [B] R. E. Borcherds, Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the Monster, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **83** (1986), 3068-3071.

- [DVVV] R. Dijkgraaf, C. Vafa, E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, The operator algebra of orbifold models, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **123** (1989), 485-526.
- [DHVW] L. Dixon, J. Harvey, C. Vafa and E. Witten, Strings on orbifolds, *Nucl. Phys.* **B261** (1985), 651; II, *Nucl. Phys.* **B274** (1986), 285.
- [D] C. Dong, Twisted modules for vertex algebras associated with even lattice, *J. of Algebra* 165 (1994), 91-112.
- [DL1] C. Dong and J. Lepowsky, Generalized Vertex Algebras and Relative Vertex Operators, *Progress in Math.*, Vol. 112, Birkhäuser Boston, 1993.
- [DL2] C. Dong and J. Lepowsky, The algebraic structure of relative twisted vertex operators, *J. Pure and Applied Algebra* **110** (1996), 259-295.
- [DLM1] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Regularity of rational vertex operator algebras, *Adv. Math.* **132** (1997), 148-166.
- [DLM2] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Twisted representations of vertex operator algebras, *Math. Ann.* **310** (1998), 571-600.
- [FFR] Alex J. Feingold, Igor B. Frenkel and John F. X. Ries, Spinor Construction of Vertex Operator Algebras, Triality, and $E_8^{(1)}$, *Contemporary Math.* **121**, 1991.
- [FHL] I. Frenkel, Y. Huang and J. Lepowsky, *On axiomatic approaches to vertex operator algebras and modules*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **104** 1993.
- [FLM1] I. B. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, A natural representation of the Fischer-Griess Monster with the modular function J as character, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **81** (1984), 3256-3260.
- [FLM2] I. B. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, Vertex operator calculus, in: *Mathematical Aspects of String Theory, Proc. 1986 Conference, San Diego*. ed. by S.-T. Yau, World Scientific, Singapore, 1987, 150-188.
- [FLM3] I. B. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster, *Pure and Applied Math.*, Vol. 134, Academic Press, 1988.
- [FZ] I. Frenkel and Y. Zhu, Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras, *Duke Math. J.* **66** (1992), 123-168.
- [KW] V. Kac and W. Wang, Vertex operator superalgebras and representations, *Contem. Math.*, *AMS* **Vol. 175** (1994), 161-191.
- [Le1] J. Lepowsky, Calculus of twisted vertex operators, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **82** (1985), 8295-8299.

- [Le2] J. Lepowsky, Perspectives on vertex operators and the Monster, in: Proc. 1987 Symposium on the Mathematical Heritage of Hermann Weyl, Duke Univ., *Proc. Symp. Pure. Math., American Math. Soc.* **48** (1988), 181-197.
- [Li1] H. Li, Local systems of vertex operators, vertex superalgebras and modules, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **109** (1996), 143–195.
- [Li2] H. Li, Local systems of twisted vertex operators, vertex operator superalgebras and twisted modules, *Contemp. Math. AMS.* **193** (1996), 203-236.
- [Z] Y. Zhu, Modular invariance of characters of vertex operator algebras, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **9** (1996), 237-302.